

From: [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Perlner, Ray A. \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: Re: PQC FRN
Date: Tuesday, November 8, 2016 4:08:15 PM

Subjunctive was always a pain when I was learning portuguese. I tend to try and avoid it!

From: Perlner, Ray (Fed)
Sent: Tuesday, November 8, 2016 3:43:15 PM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed); Regenscheid, Andrew (Fed)
Cc: Chen, Lily (Fed)
Subject: RE: PQC FRN
No love for the subjunctive?

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Tuesday, November 08, 2016 3:42 PM
To: Regenscheid, Andrew (Fed) <andrew.regenscheid@nist.gov>; Perlner, Ray (Fed) <ray.perlner@nist.gov>
Cc: Chen, Lily (Fed) <lily.chen@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: PQC FRN

Thanks Andy.

It looks good to me. My only change would be to change "lead" to "leads" in the sentence you added:

For that reason, NIST believes it is critical that this process lead to cryptographic standards that can be freely implemented in security technologies and products.

Dustin

From: Regenscheid, Andrew (Fed)
Sent: Tuesday, November 8, 2016 2:31:00 PM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed); Perlner, Ray (Fed)
Cc: Chen, Lily (Fed)
Subject: Re: PQC FRN

Dustin, Ray,

Here are some proposed IPR additions in Sections 2.D and 4.C.3.

Let me know what you think. We're still waiting to hear back the lawyers on the FRN, but as you saw, Jennifer and Henry are fine with our plan for the FRN.

-Andy

From: "Moody, Dustin (Fed)" <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Date: Tuesday, November 1, 2016 at 9:14 AM
To: Lily Chen <lily.chen@nist.gov>, Andrew Regenscheid <andrew.regenscheid@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: PQC FRN

Andy/Lily,

I accepted Lily's suggestions. The revision is attached.

Dustin

From: Chen, Lily (Fed)

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2016 8:41:26 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed); Regenscheid, Andrew (Fed)
Subject: RE: PQC FRN

Hi, Dustin:

Please see my comments on FRN.

Lily

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)

Sent: Monday, October 31, 2016 10:46 AM

To: Regenscheid, Andrew (Fed) <andrew.regenscheid@nist.gov>

Cc: Chen, Lily (Fed) <lily.chen@nist.gov>

Subject: PQC FRN

Andy,

Just wanted to check that we will be on pace to get out an FRN by the end of November? The draft FRN I wrote is attached.

I made it very simple, just basically pointing to our webpage for all the details. Let me know if you think I need to add anything.

I've also attached the latest version of our Call. I believe you were wanting to strengthen the text where we state our preference for royalty-free. That occurs in the final paragraph before Section 2.D.1. Do you want to edit it? If you want, we can also add a bullet 4.C.3 to list our IPR preference as one of the evaluation criteria. Does that seem a good spot to you?

Thanks,

Dustin